

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		Page 1		
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <b>F09603-03-R-70773-0005</b>			3. EFFECTIVE DATE <b>23 OCT 2003</b>		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. <b>FD20600370773</b>		5. PROJECT NO (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY <b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; VEHICLE, CONTRACTING DIVISION</b> <b>WR-ALC/LEK</b> <b>295 BYRON STREET</b> <b>ROBINS AFB GA 31098-11</b> <b>BUYER: Randy W Miller/LEKVA</b> <b>Randy.Miller@robins.af.mil</b> <b>Phone: (478) 926- 7851 Ext. 143 Fax: (478) 926-7801 No Collect Calls</b>			CODE <b>FA8510</b>		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)			CODE
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)					<b>X</b>			9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. <b>F0960303R70773</b>
								9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) <b>--1 August 2003</b>
								10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
								10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE		FACILITY CODE		11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> s extended, <input type="checkbox"/> s not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods. ( a ) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning copies of the amendment; ( b ) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or ( c ) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified. <b>14 NOV 2003 16:00</b>								
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)								
13. THIS APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.								
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.								
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).								
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:								
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)								
<b>E. IMPORTANT:</b> Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return <b>1</b> copies to the issuing office.								
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)								
<p>The purpose of this amendment is to change the relevancy criteria listed in Clause M-900, paragraph (b)(3), to extend the closing date for acceptance of Past Performance information to 31 Oct 2003, and to extend the final closing date of the RFP to 14 Nov 2003.</p> <p>See Following Pages.</p> <p><del>Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.</del></p>								
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)				
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED		
BY _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)				BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)				

1. Clause M-900, paragraph (b)(3), is hereby changed as follows:

**FROM:**

(3) **Performance.** The past performance assessment will be conducted to assess the AF's degree of confidence in an offeror's ability (which includes, if applicable, the extent of its critical subcontractors' or teaming partner's involvement) to successfully accomplish the proposed effort based on the offeror's demonstrated present and past work record. The government will evaluate the offeror's demonstrated record of contract compliance in supplying relevant products and services meeting users' needs including schedule, quality, production capability, and financial strength. The currency and relevancy of the information, the source of the information, context of the data and general trends in the contractor's performance will be considered. The government will perform an independent determination of relevancy of the data provided or obtained (which includes, if applicable, the extent of its critical subcontractors' or teaming partners' involvement). The Government is not bound by the offeror's opinion of relevancy. The Government may consider an offeror's experience in the aggregate in determining relevancy, should the offeror's present and past performance lend itself to this approach. For example, an offeror's work experience on three efforts may, by definition, represent a semi-relevant effort when each contract is considered on a stand-alone basis. However, when these contracts are assessed in the aggregate, the work may more accurately reflect a very relevant effort. The following relevancy criteria apply:

**VERY RELEVANT:** Present and past performance involving efforts of a magnitude and complexity essentially equivalent to what this solicitation requires. Present and past performance involving comprehensive development and production of diesel generator sets including, but not limited to, the following critical subsystems: diesel engine, generator, power converters, control systems, system integrations, and body structure designs. Additional evidence of a history of production quantities and program management requirements essentially equivalent to what this solicitation requires.

**RELEVANT:** Present and past performance involving efforts of a magnitude and complexity of which include most of what this solicitation requires. Present and past performance involving comprehensive development and production of diesel generator sets including, but not limited to, at least three of the following critical subsystems: diesel engine, generator, power converters, control systems, system integrations, and body structure considerations. Additional evidence of a history of production quantities and program management requirements which include most of what this solicitation requires.

**SEMI-RELEVANT:** Present and past performance involving efforts of a magnitude and complexity which include some of what this solicitation requires. Present and past performance involving comprehensive development and production of diesel generator sets including, but not limited to, at least one of the following critical subsystems: diesel engine, generator, power converters, control systems, system integrations, and body structure designs. Additional evidence of a history of production quantities and program management requirements which include some of what this solicitation requires.

**NOT RELEVANT:** Present and past performance which did not involve any significant aspects of above criteria.

In accessing present and past performance, the Government will employ many techniques, methods and sources that will include but not be limited to:

(i). Information utilized may be obtained from the references listed in the proposal, as well as from other sources known to the government. Data from previous source selections may be used if the data is recent and relevant. Evaluation of present and past performance will include consideration of overall customer

satisfaction and conclusions of informed judgment.

(ii). Offerors may be given an opportunity to address adverse past performance information if the offeror has not had a previous opportunity to respond to the information. Recent contracts will be examined to ensure that corrective measures have been implemented. The confidence assessment will consider issues including, but not limited to, the number and severity of the problems, the appropriateness and /or effectiveness of any corrective actions taken (not just planned or promised), and the offeror's overall work record. Prompt corrective action in isolated instances may not outweigh overall negative trends.

(iii). Past performance information will also be considered regarding any critical subcontractors and key personnel. A "critical subcontractor" is defined as a subcontractor who performs 30% or more of the total proposed effort based on the total program price or other subcontractor performing specific functions considered critical to program success. If an offeror, or proposed key employee of the offeror, do not have a past performance history deemed relevant to this solicitation; the offeror will receive a neutral confidence rating. The neutral confidence rating will be considered in the overall assessment for a best value decision.

An overall confidence assessment rating will be made. The following confidence assessment ratings apply:

**Exceptional/High Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, essentially no doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

**Good/Significant Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, little doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

**Satisfactory/ Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, some doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

**Neutral/Unknown Confidence** – No performance record identifiable.

**Marginal/Low Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, substantial doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort. Changes in the offeror's existing process may be necessary in order to achieve contract requirements.

**Unsatisfactory/No Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, extreme doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

**Pursuant to DFARS 215.305(a)(2), the assessment will consider the extent to which the offerors evaluated past performance demonstrates compliance with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns.**

**TO:**

(3) **Performance.** The past performance assessment will be conducted to assess the AF's degree of confidence in an offeror's ability (which includes, if applicable, the extent of its critical subcontractors' or teaming partner's involvement) to successfully accomplish the proposed effort based on the offeror's demonstrated present and past work record. The government will evaluate the offeror's demonstrated record of contract compliance in supplying relevant products and services meeting users' needs including schedule, quality, production capability, and financial strength. The currency and relevancy of the information, the source of the information, context of the data and general trends in the contractor's performance will be considered. The government will perform an independent determination of relevancy of the data provided or obtained (which includes, if applicable, the extent of its critical subcontractors' or teaming partners' involvement). The Government is not bound by the offeror's opinion

of relevancy. The Government may consider an offeror's experience in the aggregate in determining relevancy, should the offeror's present and past performance lend itself to this approach. For example, an offeror's work experience on three efforts may, by definition, represent a semi-relevant effort when each contract is considered on a stand-alone basis. However, when these contracts are assessed in the aggregate, the work may more accurately reflect a very relevant effort. The following relevancy criteria apply:

**VERY RELEVANT:** Present and past performance involving efforts of a magnitude and complexity *essentially equivalent* to what this solicitation requires. Present and past performance involving comprehensive development, integration, and production of at least four of the following critical subsystems: diesel engine, generator, power converters, system controls, and body structure designs.

**RELEVANT:** Present and past performance involving efforts of a magnitude and complexity of which include *most* of what this solicitation requires. Present and past performance involving comprehensive development, integration, and production of at least three of the following critical subsystems: diesel engine, generator, power converters, system controls, and body structure considerations.

**SEMI-RELEVANT:** Present and past performance involving efforts of a magnitude and complexity which include *some of* what this solicitation requires. Present and past performance involving comprehensive development, integration, and production of at least two of the following critical subsystems: diesel engine, generator, power converters, system controls, and body structure designs.

**NOT RELEVANT:** Present and past performance which did not involve any significant aspects of above criteria.

In accessing present and past performance, the Government will employ many techniques, methods and sources that will include but not be limited to:

(i). Information utilized may be obtained from the references listed in the proposal, as well as from other sources known to the government. Data from previous source selections may be used if the data is recent and relevant. Evaluation of present and past performance will include consideration of overall customer satisfaction and conclusions of informed judgment.

(ii). Offerors may be given an opportunity to address adverse past performance information if the offeror has not had a previous opportunity to respond to the information. Recent contracts will be examined to ensure that corrective measures have been implemented. The confidence assessment will consider issues including, but not limited to, the number and severity of the problems, the appropriateness and /or effectiveness of any corrective actions taken (not just planned or promised), and the offeror's overall work record. Prompt corrective action in isolated instances may not outweigh overall negative trends.

(iii). Past performance information will also be considered regarding any critical subcontractors and key personnel. A "critical subcontractor" is defined as a subcontractor who performs 30% or more of the total proposed effort based on the total program price or other subcontractor performing specific functions considered critical to program success. If an offeror, or proposed key employee of the offeror, do not have a past performance history deemed relevant to this solicitation; the offeror will receive a neutral confidence rating. The neutral confidence rating will be considered in the overall assessment for a best value decision.

An overall confidence assessment rating will be made. The following confidence assessment ratings apply:

**Exceptional/High Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, essentially no doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

**Good/Significant Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, little doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

**Satisfactory/ Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, some doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

**Neutral/Unknown Confidence** – No performance record identifiable.

**Marginal/Low Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, substantial doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort. Changes in the offeror's existing process may be necessary in order to achieve contract requirements.

**Unsatisfactory/No Confidence** – Based on the offeror's performance record, extreme doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

**Pursuant to DFARS 215.305(a)(2), the assessment will consider the extent to which the offerors evaluated past performance demonstrates compliance with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns.**

2. Updates to Past Performance Volumes resulting from this amendment will be accepted until COB 31 Oct 2003.
3. The Final RFP closing date is extended until 14 NOV 2003, 1600 hrs.